

Ice Lensing Mechanism during Soil Freezing

Graduate School of Bioresources, Mie University

Kunio Watanabe
December, 1999

Kunio Watanabe, Ice Lensing Mechanism during Soil Freezing

Nougyo-Doboku, Graduate School of Bioresources, Mie University

1515 Kamihama Tsu, Mie 5148507, Japan

kunio@dobokuPc4.bio.mie-u.ac.jp

This edition is translated from an original Japanese thesis, which submitted to the Graduate School of Bioresources, Mie University, for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

ABSTRACT

Ice Lensing Mechanism during Soil Freezing

Kunio WATANABE

Mie University, 1999

When soil is cooled under 0 °C, soil water solidifies with decreasing temperature. This is known as soil freezing. In Japan, the ground is frozen seasonally in Hokkaido, Tohoku, and some mountain areas. Short-term frozen ground is even found in the western part of Japan. Soil freezing is estimated to occur in almost 70 per cent of the Earth's land surface. Furthermore, soil is sometimes frozen artificially for use. There are many situations in which soil freezes.

If soil expands when it freezes, the volume change is called frost heave. When heaving occurs, some of the ice layer formed near the ground surface is present as seams of pure segregated ice; this ice layer is called an ice lens. The ground surface sometimes expands dozens of centimeters due to the ice lensing. It causes much damage to paved road, drainage system in farmland, foundation, and so on. The growth of ice lenses induces soil water to flow from the unfrozen zone to the surface zone. In this case, some solutes illuviate to near the ground surface with the water flow. To overcome such frost-action damage, it is important to clarify the mechanism of ice lensing during soil freezing. Furthermore, ice lensing is not a phenomenon limited to soil. It has also been observed in various porous materials. Knowledge of the mechanism of ice lensing will be applied to fields that involve these frozen materials, including physical chemistry, biology, material science, food processing, and medicine.

Numerous studies with the intention of clarifying the mechanism of ice lensing have been reported. Presently, one of the theories most often used is the secondary frost heave theory. In this theory, presence of partially frozen region near growing surface of ice lens is assumed. The intermittent formation of ice lenses is then explained from calculating stresses in the region. However, the partially frozen region has not been confirmed experimentally. And the stress partition factor, which is important for calculating the neutral stress, has not been theoretically verified. Summarizing the historical studies, there are two problems associated with clarifying

mechanism of ice lensing. One is to clarify the microstructure near the freezing front, i.e. to clarify water conditions and particle migration in the partially frozen region. The other is to explain the dynamic mechanism of ice lensing, in which the generation and growth of an ice lens is repeated to form intermittent layers. In order to solve these problems, we performed three series of freezing experiment using a unidirectional freezing apparatus. In the first experiment, ice lensing in soil and porous media consisting of fine particles were microscopically observed, then, following results were obtained. Ideal ice lenses for modeling can be made using uniform sized glass beads. The growth of ice lens is dependent on supercooling of the growth surface. The freezing rate influences the ice lens growth more than temperature gradient. It is suggested that freezing rate, supercooling degree at growth surface of ice lens and particle condition near growing ice lens were important factors for considering ice lensing model. From the second experiment, in which ice interface in water with dispersed glass particles was observed, the criteria for exclusion and encapsulation of particles during ice formation with respect to particle size and freezing rate was shown. The relationship between particle size and critical freezing rate was explained by Köber's theory. It is suggested that the critical freezing rate was important for the generation of ice lens. In the third experiment, microstructure in the vicinity of ice lens is observed using Raman spectroscopy. It is obtained that no ice was found in any pore warmer than the warmest ice lens in the porous media and the ice lens grew without penetrating the warmer pores.

Based on the experimental results, we then presented a model for simulating the formation of ice lenses during freezing of unconfined uniform porous media is presented. The main notions of the model are that generation and jump are dependent on the freezing rate, and growth is dependent on supercooling. The critical freezing rate is assumed to vary with changes in the number of particles near the ice lens as it grows. The model was demonstrated for the unidirectional freezing of a porous medium consisting of fine glass particles. The numerical results show that this model can represent the formation of intermittent layers of ice lenses in such a system. This model can be applied to ice formation in unconfined water-soaked porous media.

As mentioned above, we clarified the microstructure near the freezing front in water-saturated porous media experimentally and made a model, which can explain the formation of intermittent layers of ice lenses.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to Dr. Masaru Mizoguchi and Dr. Takeshi Ishizaki for their numerous invaluable guidance during this study. A special thanks to my supervisor, Dr. Masahiro Aragaki, for his useful comments and encouragement, and also my sincere thanks to Dr. Hideki Kiyosawa for his invaluable advice.

Most of the experiments for this paper were carried out at the Institute of Low Temperature Science, Hokkaido University. I gratefully acknowledge Dr. Masami Fukuda, Dr. Takeo Hondoh and Akira Hori, who provided me with a chance to do the experiments. Special thanks are also expressed to Ms. Yoshiko Muto to staffs at the institute and staffs at the Laboratory of Agricultural physics, Mie University, for their continuing support to the study.

I would like to thank Dr. Yoshinori Furukawa and Dr. Kazushige Nagashima for the development of unidirectional freezing apparatus and their comments at the experiments. I also thank Dr. Hiroshi Fukazawa and Dr. Tomoko Ikeda for the use of Raman spectroscopy and thank Dr. Satoshi Takeya for the use of X-ray system. I also wish to acknowledge Dr. Satoshi Akagawa, Dr. Yoshiaki and Mr. Yutaka Minami for taking electron micrographs and measuring grain size distribution and specific surface area.

I also wish to acknowledge Dr. Fujio Tuchiya, Dr. Kazuo Takeda, Takahiro Ohrai, and member at frozen ground section of Japanese Society of Snow and Ice for their suggestion and discussion. I extend my appreciation to Dr. Yuji Kodama, Dr. Toshio Sone, Dr. Yoshiyuki Ishi, and Dr. Jorge Strelin, who have been very encouraging.

Thanks are also due to the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science for grants awarded for this study. Finally, I am pleased to acknowledge my wife and peasants.

CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	page
1.1	Soil freezing	1
1.2	The importance of studying soil freezing	2
1.3	Ice lensing and the purpose of this study	5
2.	Factors and theories of ice lensing	
2.1	Introduction	10
2.2	Main factors considered in soil freezing	11
2.2.1	Unfrozen water	11
2.2.2	Ice formation	14
2.2.3	Water flow during soil freezing	15
2.2.4	Heat transfer during soil freezing	15
2.3	Ice lensing theories and models	17
2.3.1	Capillary theory	18
2.3.2	Hydrodynamic model	21
2.3.3	Secondary frost heave theory	21
2.3.4	Osmotic model	25
2.3.5	Takashi's theory	26
2.3.6	Adsorption force theory	27
2.3.7	Segregation potential concept	27
2.3.8	Kinetic model	28
2.3.9	Thermomolecular pressure model	29
2.3.10	Thermodynamics approach	30
2.4	Problems for theories and models of ice lensing	31
3.	Materials and apparatus	
3.1	Characteristics of samples and grain-size distribution	33
3.2	Surface conditions	36
3.3	Specific surface area and distribution of pores	45
3.4	Unfrozen water content	45
3.4.1	NMR methods	45
3.4.2	Test procedure	46
3.4.3	Unfrozen water content	46
3.4.4	Effect of solute on unfrozen water content	47
3.5	Unidirectional freezing apparatus	52

4.	Observation of ice lensing and frost heaving (Exp. 1. 2)	page
4.1	Sample and method	57
4.2	Experimental results	61
	4.2.1 Freezing experiment with zero sample rate	61
	4.2.2 Freezing experiment with constant sample rate	74
4.3	Discussion	85
4.4	Summary	88
5.	Observation of freezing of dispersed glass beads in water (Exp. 3)	
5.1	Sample and method	89
5.2	Experimental results	90
5.3	Discussion	93
5.4	Summary	94
6.	Observation of the vicinity of ice lens by Raman spectroscopy (Exp. 4)	
6.1	Sample and method	95
6.2	Raman spectroscopy	100
6.3	Experimental results	100
6.4	Discussion	101
6.5	Summary	102
7.	A Model for the Formation of Ice Lenses in an Unconfined, Water-saturated, Porous Medium consisting of Spherical Particles	
7.1	Model	103
	7.1.1 System and conditions	103
	7.1.2 Generation of an ice lens	103
	7.1.3 Growth of the ice lens	105
	7.1.4 Heat transfer	106
	7.1.5 Number of particles near the growth surface	107
	7.1.6 Ice lensing	109
7.2	Simulation	112
7.3	Discussion	115
7.4	Summary	118
8.	Summary and conclusions	

References

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	caption	page
Fig. 1.	Schematic diagram of soil freezing and ice lensing.	7
Fig. 2.	Ice lensing observed in soil and in porous medium consisting uniform sized glass particles.	9
Fig. 3.	Schematic drawing of ice-water interface prior to propagating through pore restriction between three touching spheres.	20
Fig. 4.	Schematic diagram of the frozen fringe, with ice lens.	23
Fig. 5.	Principles of the secondary frost heave theory.	24
Fig. 6.	Grain size accumulation curves for the samples.	35
Fig. 7.	Electron micrographs of samples.	37
Fig. 8.	Distribution curves of nano pores of the samples.	44
Fig. 9.	Schematic diagram of NMR setup.	49
Fig. 10.	Unfrozen water content in the frozen samples	50
Fig. 11.	Unfrozen water curves of samples 1 saturated with NaCl, KCl and MgCl ₂ solutions.	51
Fig. 12.	Schematic diagram of unidirectional freezing apparatus.	54
Fig. 13.	Schematic diagram of temperature gradient and freezing rate given to the sample on the unidirectional freezing apparatus.	56
Fig. 14.	Schematic diagram of sample cell	60
Fig. 15.	Images of the freezing samples under the conditions of A0 and D0.	63
Fig. 16.	The change in thickness of the warmest ice lens in each sample.	66
Fig. 17.	Temperatures at the growth surface of the warmest ice lens.	70
Fig. 18.	Relationship between growth rate of the warmest ice lens and temperature at the growth surface.	72
Fig. 19.	Relationship between the growth rate of ice lens and supercooling degree at the growth surface.	73
Fig. 20.	Images of the freezing samples under the conditions of A2 and D2.	76
Fig. 21.	Relationship between freezing rate and thickness of ice lens.	79
Fig. 22.	Relationship between freezing rate and spacing between ice lenses.	80
Fig. 23.	Total heaving amount when a sample was moving with constant rate.	81

Fig. 24. Relationship between heaving rate and sample moving rate	82
---	----

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	caption	page
Fig. 25.	Temperature at growth surface of ice lenses.	83
Fig. 26.	Relationship between freezing rate and ice lens generation.	84
Fig. 27.	An image of the vicinity of ice growing surface in water with dispersed glass particles.	91
Fig. 28.	Physical factors on a spherical particle ahead of the growing surface.	92
Fig. 29.	The Raman spectra obtained from samples at room temperature and the temperature of liquid nitrogen.	97
Fig. 30.	A computer-processed image of the vicinity of the growth surface of the ice lens monitored using the Raman system.	98
Fig. 31.	A series of the Raman spectra obtained from pores.	99
Fig. 32.	Schematic description model system.	104
Fig. 33.	Schematic description change in number of particles near ice lens.	108
Fig. 34.	Schematic description freezing rate.	111
Fig. 35.	Growth amount of ice lenses.	114
Fig. 36.	Schematic description of the vicinity of the ice lens.	117

LIST OF TABLES AND PHOTOGRAPHS

Figure	caption	page
Photograph 1.	Frost damage to paved roads (Kushiro, 1997).	3
Photograph 2.	Ice lenses in actual soil (James Ross Island, 1998).	8
Photograph 3.	A pulsed NMR instrument PRAXIS-2.	48
Photograph 4.	Unidirectional freezing apparatus.	53
Photograph 5.	Raman spectroscopy.	96
Table 1.	Characteristics of the samples 1-5.	34
Table 2.	Experimental conditions (Exp. 1 and Exp. 2).	59
Table 3.	Experimental conditions (Exp. 3).	89

LIST OF SYMBOLS

symbol	unit	meaning
A	m^2	area
	$m^{-2}s^{-1}$	coefficient
a_0	m	average molecular distance in liquid
B	$m s^{-1}K^{-1}$	coefficient
C	$mol kg^{-1}$	molality
	$J K^{-1}kg^{-1}$	specific heat
	m^{-5}	coefficient
D	$m^{-2}s^{-1}$	self diffusion coefficient of water molecule
d	m	distance
	m	mean particle diameter
E	J	internal energy
F		viscous drag force
F		repulsive force
G	J	Gibbs free energy
g	$m s^{-2}$	gravitational acceleration
H	J	enthalpy
J	$s^{-1}m^{-2}$	flux of water molecule
K	$m s^{-1}$	hydraulic conductivity
K_f	$K kg mol^{-1}$	molar freezing point constant
k	$W m^{-1}K^{-1}$	thermal conductivity
k	$J K^{-1}$	Boltzman coefficient
L	$J kg^{-1}$	latent heat of fusion
m	kg	mass
N	m^{-3}	number of particles per unit volume
p	Pa	pressure
Q	W	heat flux
R	m	radius of particle
R_f	$J m^{-1}s^{-1}$	resistance from freezing process
R_h	$J m^{-1}s^{-1}$	resistance from water flow
r	m	curvature radius
r_p	m	radius of pore
S	$J K^{-1}$	entropy
SP	$m^2K^{-1}s^{-1}$	segregation potential
T	K, °C	temperature
t, t_p	s	time
T_m	K, °C	melting temperature of bulk water
U_c	-	coefficient of uniformity
U_c'	-	coefficient of curvature
V_c, V_c'	$m s^{-1}$	critical rate of progress of freezing front
V_f	$m s^{-1}$	rate of advancing of freezing front

LIST OF SYMBOLS

symbol	unit	meaning
V_h	m s^{-1}	heaving rate
V_{il}	m s^{-1}	rate of ice lens growth
V_s	m s^{-1}	rate of moving of sample cell = - $V_f v \text{ m}^3$ specific volume
x	m	coordinate
z	m	coordinate
	K m^{-1}	temperature gradient
	-	constant
	-	stress partition factor
$T_f - T_m$	$\text{K}, ^\circ\text{C}$	temperature difference from T_m
μ	J	chemical potential
	J m^{-2}	interfacial energy
	N s m^{-2}	coefficient of viscosity
	-	van't Hoff coefficient
	m^{-1}	number of vibration
ν_R	m^{-1}	Raman shift
	Pa	osmotic pressure
	kg m^3	density
	Pa	stress

subscript	meaning
e	effective
f	frozen, freezing
H	high
h	heave
i	ice
il	ice lens
L	low
l	liquid
n	neutral
p	pore
q	quasi-liquid
s	solid, soil, sample
u	unfrozen
w	water